



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
WWW.uspto.gov

Paper No. 19

John Moettelli Bugnion S.A. Case 375 Geneva, CH-1211 Geneva 12 Switzerland

COPY MAILED

OCT 2 0 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Karl Hartmut Sauer et al.

Application No. 09/526,783 :

Filed: March 16, 2000

Attorney Docket No. 1.G164.186

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 15, 2003, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed September 24, 2002, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months from the mail date of the Notice. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 have been obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on December 25, 2002.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(c). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lack(s) item(s) (1).

As to item (1), There is no indication that a proper reply to the final Office action of September 24, 2002 has been submitted. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 1.17(b)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, or the filing of a continuing application. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). Alternatively, the reply requirement may be met by the filing of a submission under 37 CFR 1.129(a) if the above-identified application is eligible for such transitional practice. Accordingly, this application cannot be revived until a proper reply to the final Office action has been submitted.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petitions

PO Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile:

(703) 308-6916

Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand:

Office of Petitions

2201 South Clark Place Crystal Plaza 4, Suite 3C23

Arlington, VA 22202

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Latrice Bond at (703) 308-6911.

Latrice Bond

Paralegal Specialist

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy